

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,608	08/29/2000	Christopher S. Campbell	ARC9-2000-0027-US1	8706
26381	7590 07/24/2003			
LACASSE & ASSOCIATES, LLC 1725 DUKE STREET SUITE 650			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CHANH DUY	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	11
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	/1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) CAMPBELL ET AL. 09/649.608 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2675 Chanh Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Chanh Nguyen (PTO). (3)Randy Lacasse. (4)_____. (2) Ramraj Soundarajan. Date of Interview: 17 July 2003. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: NONE. Identification of prior art discussed: NONE. Agreement with respect to the claims f() was reached. g() was not reached. h() N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation Sheet (PTO-413)

Application No. 09/649,608

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In conversation with applicant's representative, Randy Lacasses, Mr Lacasse demands that the admendment after final has to be entered because (1) the purpose of interview after final is to put the case in condition for allowance (2) the new limitation presented in the claims is suggested by examiner. Examiner disagrees with applicant because (1) the purpose of interview is to understand examiner position and to present applicant position. From there,(in this case) claims can be amended so as to overcome the rejection. (2) the new limitation is suggested by both applicant's representative and examiner (not by only examiner). (3) after receiving "Draft for Interview Purpose Only" via fax on June 17, 2003, applicant's representative, Ramraj Soundarajar called to discussed the limitation "positive and negative" to be added. At this time, examiner told Mr. Soundaraja that the new limitation requires futher consideration/and or search, and Mr.Soundaraja said that IBM now only concerns about overcome the rejection. Applicant' representative, Randy Lacasse demands entering the amendment after final and allowing the application is not possible because the new limitations require further search

۲